

# **CALCONNECT.ORG**

**The CALENDARING & SCHEDULING CONSORTIUM**

**Title: Report on TIMEZONE Questionnaire Results**

**Version: 1.0**

**Date: 03 October 2005**

**Contributed: TC-TIMEZONE Technical Committee**

**Editor: Cyrus Daboo**

**Status: Published**

**This document is one in a series of documents summarizing the results of questionnaires that the Calendaring and Scheduling Consortium has conducted to help better understand the requirements, problems and needs for calendaring and scheduling solutions. It is expected that the results of these questionnaires will be used to help define requirements and recommendations for calendaring & scheduling products.**

**This particular document summarizes the results of the Timezone Technical Committee's questionnaire on Timezone support in iCalendar products. Other questionnaire summary documents will cover different areas of calendaring and scheduling, and will be made available by the Consortium.**

## STATEMENT OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

This document and the information it contains is the work product of The Calendaring and Scheduling Consortium (“Consortium”), and as such, the Consortium claims all rights to any intellectual property contained herein.

## STATEMENT OF APPROPRIATE USAGE

Standards Setting Organizations and others who find that this document is of use in their work are hereby granted the right to copy, redistribute, incorporate into their own documents, make derivative works from, and otherwise make further use of the document and the material it contains at no cost and without seeking prior permission from the Consortium, subject to properly attributing the source if unmodified to the Consortium and notifying the Consortium of its use according to the guidelines below:

1. If the document is excerpted or used in its entirety in another document, the text must remain unchanged and a complete citation must be supplied referencing the full title, version, date, and appropriate section/subsection/paragraph identification from the original document.
2. A normative or informative reference to this document may be used in place of excerpting or incorporating the entire original document. Such references should include the full title, version, date and appropriate section/subsection/paragraph identification from the Consortium document being referenced.
3. In either case, the user referencing or excerpting a Consortium document is requested to notify the Consortium of the referencing specification and to provide the Consortium with an appropriate link or other way of reviewing the specification.

## DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTY

THIS DOCUMENT AND THE INFORMATION IT CONTAINS IS PROVIDED ON AN “AS IS” BASIS, WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, FROM THE CONSORTIUM, ITS CONTRIBUTORS, AND THE ORGANIZATIONS ITS CONTRIBUTORS REPRESENT OR ARE SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE, AND NON-INFRINGEMENT.

# Calendaring & Scheduling Consortium

## *Report on Timezone Questionnaire Results*

### **Introduction**

The Timezone Technical Committee of the Calendaring & Scheduling Consortium (<http://www.calconnect.org>) developed a questionnaire about timezone usage in iCalendar products. The goal of this questionnaire was to determine how and to what extent timezones are being used, with a view to using those results to aid in the development of recommendations on how to improve timezone support in iCalendar.

A number of responses were received, and summary and conclusions drawn from these results. This document presents a summary of the results and brief conclusions based on those results.

Whilst each vendor submitted their own results, the summary presented here is an aggregate of those results, and has any information that could identify vendors removed.

### **Summary of individual responses**

A total of 19 responses were received from a total of 17 vendors over a period of about 1 month. The products covered by these responses include clients (both desktop and web-based), servers and libraries (which are used by different clients and servers). Both commercial and open source vendors are represented. Many responses were based on currently shipping products, and some were based on products currently under development.

Of these products, a total of 7 did not implement timezone support at all, either because it was not relevant (e.g. timezone was left as a presentation issue for clients) or because the feature had not currently been implemented, but plans were in place to eventually do so.

### **Results**

The results of the questionnaire are tabulated below.

A number of questions required a "yes", "no", "other" response. Products that did not support timezones at all (answered "no" to all such questions) were moved into the "other" column. The percentage totals were calculated from the number of "yes" answers compared to the total of "yes" and "no" answers. The "other" value was excluded from the percentage. In other words, the percentage represents the amount of support for timezones by those who have made some attempt to support it.

Descriptive answers and comments in the table below are summaries of each result received.

## Questionnaire Results (Questions 1 – 4)

Question	Description	Answers							
		<i>CONSUME(y)</i>	<i>CONSUME(n)</i>	<i>CONSUME(o)</i>	<b>CONSUME %</b>	<i>PRODUCE(y)</i>	<i>PRODUCE(n)</i>	<i>PRODUCE(o)</i>	<b>PRODUCE</b>
	<b>Components supported:</b>								
Q1	VTIMEZONE	12	0	7	<b>100%</b>	11	1	7	<b>92%</b>
Q1.1	STANDARD	12	0	7	<b>100%</b>	11	1	7	<b>92%</b>
Q1.2	DAYLIGHT	12	0	7	<b>100%</b>	11	1	7	<b>92%</b>
	<b>Properties supported:</b>								
	<b>In VTIMEZONE</b>								
Q2.1	TZID	11	1	7	<b>92%</b>	11	1	7	<b>92%</b>
Q2.2	LAST-MODIFIED	6	6	7	<b>50%</b>	6	6	7	<b>50%</b>
Q2.3	TZURL	5	7	7	<b>42%</b>	2	10	7	<b>17%</b>
Q2.4	XPROP	5	7	7	<b>42%</b>	1	11	7	<b>8%</b>
	<b>In STANDARD</b>								
Q3.1	DTSTART	11	1	7	<b>92%</b>	10	2	7	<b>83%</b>
Q3.2	TZOFFSETTO	12	0	7	<b>100%</b>	11	1	7	<b>92%</b>
Q3.3	TZOFFSETFROM	12	0	7	<b>100%</b>	11	1	7	<b>92%</b>
Q3.4	COMMENT	5	7	7	<b>42%</b>	4	8	7	<b>33%</b>
Q3.5	RDATE	8	4	7	<b>67%</b>	5	7	7	<b>42%</b>
Q3.6	RRULE	12	0	7	<b>100%</b>	10	2	7	<b>83%</b>
Q3.7	TZNAME	6	6	7	<b>50%</b>	8	4	7	<b>67%</b>
Q3.8	XPROP	6	6	7	<b>50%</b>	2	10	7	<b>17%</b>
	<b>In DAYLIGHT</b>								
Q4.1	DTSTART	11	1	7	<b>92%</b>	10	2	7	<b>83%</b>
Q4.2	TZOFFSETTO	12	0	7	<b>100%</b>	11	1	7	<b>92%</b>
Q4.3	TZOFFSETFROM	12	0	7	<b>100%</b>	11	1	7	<b>92%</b>
Q4.4	COMMENT	6	6	7	<b>50%</b>	5	7	7	<b>42%</b>
Q4.5	RDATE	8	4	7	<b>67%</b>	5	7	7	<b>42%</b>
Q4.6	RRULE	12	0	7	<b>100%</b>	10	2	7	<b>83%</b>
Q4.7	TZNAME	6	6	7	<b>50%</b>	8	4	7	<b>67%</b>
Q4.8	XPROP	6	6	7	<b>50%</b>	2	10	7	<b>17%</b>

## Questionnaire Results (Questions 5 onwards)

Question	Description	Answers			%
		(y)	(n)	(o)	
Q5	<b>General:</b> Do you always send DATE-TIME values with a timezone?	9	9	1	<b>47%</b>
Q6	Do you always send DATE-TIME values in UTC or floating?	9	5	5	<b>47%</b>
Q7	Do you provide a standard set of timezones built-in to your product?	16	3	0	<b>84%</b>
Q8	Where did you get your timezone definitions?	Most come from Olsen. Some from Windows. Others from Java.			
Q9	How many timezone definitions do you have?	Varies from about 50 to about 380			
Q10	Do you have a special naming scheme for TZIDs, and if so what is it?	Olsen naming e.g. America/New_York. Windows naming. Tzid: URI. Tzid with vendor prefix.			
Q11	Do you provide a mechanism for updating built-in timezones?	5	9	1	<b>33%</b>
Q12	Do you adjust future times to account for timezone definition changes?	2	3	3	<b>25%</b>
Q13	Do you accept and use timezone definitions from imported iCalendar data?	10	5	1	<b>63%</b>
Q14	Do you attempt to merge timezone definitions with the same TZID when importing iCalendar data?	Some do, some don't (about 50%). Also: "We match it to our internal list by ID first and then by rule".			
Q15	When exporting timezones in iCalendar data (either to a file or via iTIP) do you send the entire timezone definition or just the set of dates needed for coverage of the event?	Those that do it export the entire timezone definition.			

Q16	Would you use timezone definitions from a standard timezone registry if one were created?	11	2	4	<b>65%</b>
Q17	What problems would be involved in changing a timezone definition if DST was changed at some point in the future?	Most would need to get new definitions and update with automatically or manually. There was some concern about how the new definitions would look (e.g. some could not support more than one STANDARD or DAYLIGHT component).			
C1	Comments on specific answers (include Q number for cross-reference to original question):				
C2	Comments on the format and ease of use of this questionnaire:	Most liked email (though some wanted text/plain and not text/html). A few preferred web-based. One wanted MS Word file.			
C3	Are there any additional questions we should be asking, and if so what are they?	Should have asked: Do any applications support multiple STANDARD and DAYLIGHT components? Should have asked: how do you treat 'foreign' TZIDs (e.g. map to own internal TZID etc)?Would like TZID on RRULE to simplify some problems.Should have asked: are timezones used on simple non-recurring events, or only recurring events?			

**Other Comments** RFCs tend to describe the expected behaviour, but leave the implementations up to the authors. This is understandable, but when all the developers have to reinvent the processing algorithms you get flaky results. I notice that the experience with TCP and DNS, for example, has led to RFCs that are increasingly specific in giving guidance to implementors.

This is the main reason I haven't implemented VTIMEZONE yet. With the exception of RRULE (see comment below), most of the rest of iCalendar is a fairly straight forward task of writing a codec for the data structures. Everything I need to know is in the RFCs. If there was a description of how to implement VTIMEZONE, I would have done it.

I strongly suggest that the calconnect group go to greater effort to offer implementation guidance to further interop. This could include pseudo-code processing models, warnings about problems and corner cases to look out for, and should particularly involve test suites. RRULE, for example, would be unimplementable without its extensive set of examples. iTIP needs a similar suite of examples, too.

## Conclusions

### *Basic Timezone Support*

Support for the basic VTIMEZONE component and properties seemed to be fairly complete, with most vendors both consuming and producing such components. Note that “producing” a VTIMEZONE component usually means copying a component out of a standard library provided in the product. We are not aware of any iCalendar products that generate VTIMEZONE components on-the-fly from some other data source.

It was clear that a number of products prefer to operate in UTC and will “downgrade” DATE-TIME values to UTC if a timezone was included.

Most products include a built-in set of timezone definitions, ranging in number from 50 to 380. These came from a variety of different sources, including the Olsen timezone database, timezone information built into OS’s (e.g. Windows), those provided with other environments (Java). The naming of these components usually followed the scheme of the original data source. In one case a private namespace was used for timezone names.

Only 1/3 of products provide a way to update the built-in timezone via some automated process.

Only 1/4 of products were able to adjust future events, tasks etc when a timezone definition changed.

About 2/3 products would take in timezone definitions from outside sources. A number of products would attempt to match an “external” definition to the built-in ones and substitute any matching built-in definition in place of the “external” one.

### *Timezone Registry*

About 2/3 of respondents said they would use a standard timezone registry if one were available. However, given the wide variety of timezone naming schemes for built-in timezones, its not clear how long it would take for products to adopt any registry scheme if it were to become available.

### *Other Comments*

One issue that was raised and not answered, was whether products are capable of handling multiple STANDARD and DAYLIGHT components in a single VTIMEZONE. That is important for dealing with timezone definition changes.



### *Future Work*

The Timezone Technical Committee is using the results of this questionnaire to formulate its recommendations document that will be made available by the Consortium.

## **APPENDIX A – The questionnaire as sent via email**

Questionnaire on Timezones in iCalendar

Introduction:

This questionnaire is being used to determine support for iCalendar (RFC2445) timezone support. The specific sections in RFC2445 that are being queried are:

- 4.6.5 Time Zone Component
- 4.8.2.4 Date/Time Start
- 4.8.3 Time Zone Component Properties  
( and sub-sections )
- 4.8.5.3 Recurrence Date/Times
- 4.8.5.4 Recurrence Rule
- 4.8.7.3 Last Modified
- 4.8.8.1 Non-standard Properties

These may involve reference to other sections.

How to answer:

Please copy the text from the '-----' divider below to the end of this message into a new message and address it to:

<mailto:questionnaire@calconnect.org>

To fill it out:

For 'y/n/o':

'y' means yes

'n' means no

'o' means other or not applicable

Delete two letters to leave the one for your answer.

If you have specific comments you can add about your answers,  
please do so at the end and reference the question number to which  
the comment applies.

For \_\_\_\_\_: enter text for the answer.

-----

Product Details:

P1:           Product/Implementation Name:

\_\_\_\_\_

Components supported:

	Consume	Produce
Q1: VTIMEZONE	y/n/o	y/n/o
Q1.1: STANDARD	y/n/o	y/n/o
Q1.2: DAYLIGHT	y/n/o	y/n/o

Properties supported:

In VTIMEZONE

	Consume	Produce
Q2.1: TZID	y/n/o	y/n/o
Q2.2: LAST-MODIFIED	y/n/o	y/n/o
Q2.3: TZURL	y/n/o	y/n/o
Q2.4: XPROP	y/n/o	y/n/o

In STANDARD

	Consume	Produce
Q3.1: DTSTART	y/n/o	y/n/o
Q3.2: TZOFFSETTO	y/n/o	y/n/o
Q3.3: TZOFFSETFROM	y/n/o	y/n/o
Q3.4: COMMENT	y/n/o	y/n/o
Q3.5: RDATE	y/n/o	y/n/o
Q3.6: RRULE	y/n/o	y/n/o
Q3.7: TZNAME	y/n/o	y/n/o
Q3.8: XPROP	y/n/o	y/n/o

In DAYLIGHT

	Consume	Produce
Q4.1: DTSTART	y/n/o	y/n/o
Q4.2: TZOFFSETTO	y/n/o	y/n/o
Q4.3: TZOFFSETFROM	y/n/o	y/n/o
Q4.4: COMMENT	y/n/o	y/n/o
Q4.5: RDATE	y/n/o	y/n/o
Q4.6: RRULE	y/n/o	y/n/o
Q4.7: TZNAME	y/n/o	y/n/o
Q4.8: XPROP	y/n/o	y/n/o

General:

Q5: Do you always send DATE-TIME  
values with a timezone? y/n/o

Q6: Do you always send DATE-TIME  
values in UTC or floating? y/n/o

Q7: Do you provide a standard  
set of timezones built-in  
to your product? y/n/o

if yes to Q7, then

{

Q8: Where did you get your  
timezone definitions?

---

Q9: How many timezone definitions do you have?

---

Q10: Do you have a special naming scheme for TZIDs, and if so what is it?

---

Q11: Do you provide a mechanism for updating built-in timezones?            y/n/o

if yes to Q11, then

{

Q12: Do you adjust future times to account for timezone definition changes?            y/n/o

}

}

Q13: Do you accept and use  
timezone definitions from  
imported iCalendar data? y/n/o

if yes to Q13, then

{

Q14: Do you attempt to merge  
timezone definitions with the  
same TZID when importing  
iCalendar data? y/n/o

}

Q15: When exporting timezones in  
iCalendar data (either to a file  
or via iTIP) do you send the entire  
timezone definition or just the  
set of dates needed for coverage  
of the event?

---

Q16: Would you use timezone  
definitions from a standard  
timezone registry if one  
were created? y/n/o

Q17: What problems would be  
involved in changing a  
timezone definition if DST  
was changed at some point  
in the future?

---

C1: Comments on specific answers (include Q number for cross-reference to original question):

---

C2: Comments on the format and ease of use of this questionnaire:

---

C3: Are there any additional questions we should be asking, and if so what are they?

---