

CALCONNECT DOCUMENT CD 0607

Type: Report
Title: May 2006 CalConnect Interoperability Test Report
Version: 1.1
Date: 2007-06-12
Status: Published
Source: IOPTTEST Technical Committee

This document incorporates by reference the CalConnect Intellectual Property Rights, Appropriate Usage, Trademarks and Disclaimer of Warranty for External (Public) Documents as located at

<http://www.calconnect.org/documents/disclaimerpublic.pdf>.

CALCONNECT.ORG

The CALENDARING & SCHEDULING CONSORTIUM

Title: CALCONNECT INTEROPERABILITY TEST
REPORT – May 2006

Version: 1.1

Date: 12 June 2006

Contributed: TC IOPTTEST

Editor: Patricia Egen
CalConnect IOP Test Manager

Authors: Patricia Egen, Simon Vaillancourt,
Chuck Norris, Mike Douglass

Status: Published

STATEMENT OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

This document and the information it contains is the work product of The Calendaring and Scheduling Consortium (“Consortium”), and as such, the Consortium claims all rights to any intellectual property contained herein.

STATEMENT OF APPROPRIATE USAGE

Standards Setting Organizations and others who find this document is of use in their work are hereby granted the right to copy, redistribute, incorporate into their own documents, make derivative works from, and otherwise make further use of the document and the material it contains at no cost and without seeking prior permission from the Consortium, subject to properly attributing the source if unmodified to the Consortium and notifying the Consortium of its use according to the guidelines below:

1. If the document is excerpted or used in its entirety in another document, the text must remain unchanged and a complete citation must be supplied referencing the full title, version, date, and appropriate section/subsection/paragraph identification from the original document.
2. A normative or informative reference to this document may be used in place of excerpting or incorporating the entire original document. Such references should include the full title, version, date, and appropriate section/subsection/paragraph identification from the Consortium document being referenced.
3. In either case, the user referencing or excerpting a Consortium document is requested to notify the Consortium of the referencing specification and to provide the Consortium with an appropriate link or other way of reviewing the specification.

DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTY

THIS DOCUMENT AND THE INFORMATION IT CONTAINS IS PROVIDED ON AN “AS IS” BASIS, WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, FROM THE CONSORTIUM, ITS CONTRIBUTORS, AND THE ORGANIZATIONS ITS CONTRIBUTORS REPRESENT OR ARE SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE, AND NON-INFRINGEMENT.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	2
Attendees Organization Products	2
General Comments.....	2
Vendor 1 Testing:.....	2
Using a sample Free Busy demo client, Vendor 1 tested the CalDAV servers. The demo application is designed to show how to connect to different CALDAV servers to do free busy, acting like a small client. The following chart shows how this client worked against various CALDAV servers.	2
Free Busy Report Chart.....	2
Vendor 4 Testing:.....	3
Vendor 3 Testing:.....	3
Vendor 2 testing:.....	3
Summary	3

CALENDAR INTEROPERABILITY TEST EVENT
May 2006 - Boston, MA

Introduction

This document contains notes and results from the May 2006 calendar interoperability test event held at the IBM/Lotus complex in Boston, MA. The basic purpose of the event was to start testing Free Busy, which has recently been added to the CALDAV specification. In addition, there was continued testing of iCalendar events by the Eventful organization.

The chart below shows the attendees, their organization and the products they were testing.

<u>Attendees</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>Products</u>
Chuck Norris	EVDB	CALDAV server
Simon Vaillancourt	Oracle	Oracle CALDAV server
Jeffrey Harris	OSAF	Chandler and Cosmo
Mike Douglass	RPI	Bedework CALDAV Server
Dan Gurney	IBM	Lotus Notes (mostly an observer)

General Comments

Free Busy is a very recent addition to CALDAV. As such, there are only a few clients that are available for testing interoperability. The following is what was tested: Three CALDAV servers, One CALDAV client and absorbing Recurrence rules (RFC 2445 and RFC 2447).

Vendor 1 Testing:

Using a sample Free Busy demo client, Vendor 1 tested the CalDAV servers. The demo application is designed to show how to connect to different CALDAV servers to do free busy, acting like a small client. The following chart shows how this client worked against various CALDAV servers.

Free Busy Report Chart

Vendor1	Vendor2	Vendor3	7	Free Busy Reports
N	N	N	Setup	Create a new calendar and populate it with the following for one week: Event on Monday, 9 am - 11 am, recurs every day for five times Event on Monday, 12 pm - 1 pm, status tentative Event on Monday, 2 pm - 3 pm, status cancelled Event on Tuesday, 11 am - 12 pm Event on Tuesday, 2 pm - 4 pm, recurs every day for four times Event on Tuesday, 3 pm - 5 pm Event on Wednesday, 11 am - 12 pm, status tentative Event on Wednesday, 3 pm - 5 pm, status tentative Event on Thursday, 11 am - 12 pm, status cancelled Event on Thursday, 3 pm - 5 pm, status cancelled
P		P	7.1	Run a free-busy report for the entire week.

P		P	7.1.1	Verify two FREEBUSY periods for Monday, the second is BUSY-TENTATIVE.
P		P	7.1.2	Verify two FREEBUSY periods for Tuesday.
P		P	7.1.3	Verify four FREEBUSY periods for Wednesday, second and fourth are BUSY-TENTATIVE and one hour long.
P		P	7.1.4	Verify two FREEBUSY periods for Thursday.
P		P	7.1.5	Verify two FREEBUSY periods for Friday.

Vendor 4 Testing:

Since the Vendor 4 organization joined the interoperability testing events after several other organizations had done their testing, they were very happy to exchange iCalendar objects to send to their server. Several attendees sent objects to help them test their iCalendar support, in particular recurring events. They found issues when absorbing iCalendar recurrence events. These will be useful in helping them streamline their software.

Vendor 3 Testing:

Vendor 3 noted that the Vendor2 server sent items that caused issues on the Vendor 3 server. They resolved several of them onsite and uncovered a few more problems that they will work on later. Vendor 3 uses write content. They noted that we may want to prevent users from updating Calendar structures based on role. It should be that they can create events but not collections.

Vendor 2 testing:

Vendor 2 tested their brand new support for free busy in their client. Rather than work on the test scenarios, since their product is brand new, they spent time fixing bugs involving subscription to other servers in their client. What they did determine, though, is that the testing they did do did work with all three servers for timed events of whatever status. All-day events didn't work on Vendor1 with the way their server serializes them. Vendor 1 will work on fixing that. It was noted while testing their version that sub collections are not supported by Vendor1 and Vendor 3. Therefore, they had to do some work on read write capabilities. By the next Interop they will do current user privilege sets. This is needed for Access Control. Generally speaking, freebusy works. Vendor 2 suggests that next time we should test infinite depth, or "rollup", freebusy reports, if anybody other than Vendor 2 supports them.

Summary

As usual, the interoperability testing revealed problems with servers that no one knew about. These were resolved quickly in many cases or will be resolved when the attendees get back to their respective facilities. It is always better to test something before it goes production and that is one of the things we can provide – a safe, non-public forum and environment for testing software interoperability.

Since this was, again as stated above, early in the Free Busy on CALDAV cycle, it was not as busy an interop as past events. However, it was a productive one, provided valuable feedback and helped the developers improve their products. In summary, the Vendor 3 and Vendor 1 servers can do free busy. The Vendor 2 client is a work in progress and is well on it's way.

Vendor 3 spent part of time on Free busy query items and found their “usual bugs.”

Vendor 1 spent most of the time on their Free Busy demo and their server. They also worked on a known problem with embryonic ACLs.

Vendor 2 found that their Free Busy broadly works.

Vendor 4 said they came in with something brand new and fragile and wanted to bounce their software off real world scenarios. This is an example of exactly what an Interoperability event should be – testing code that is not only complete but in development as well. It's better to know that something is not working as expected before committing an extensive amount of time in development. Vendor 4 found the event very valuable.

The next Calconnect Interoperability Testing Event (CITE) will spend more time focusing on Free Busy.

The Future

Some time was spent discussing the mobile space so we are starting a dialog on testing mobile devices and iCalendar, CALDAV, etc. This year is first year there are multiple phones with ical parsers. We will need to look for definitions of test cases. We will look at announcing early that we are embarking on this space to gather potential participants.

One of our first items will be to look at basic ical data and determine whether it gets rendered correctly on a certain number of devices. We will also need to look at transport mechanisms. Pat will work with Symbian who volunteered to help come up with test scenarios. The aim is to start the interop testing at the January meeting.

By September MIT might have ical export function from event calendar and will be interested in testing with clients. CMU might be interested in testing also.

My thanks to everyone who furnished their notes and results.

Respectfully submitted, Pat Egen. Interoperability Event Manager